Analytical methodologies for the determination of sterigmatocystin in food and current concentration levels

Olga Pardo , Francesc A. Esteve-Turrillas*

Analytical Chemistry Department, University of Valencia, 46100 Burjassot, Spain

Abstract

Sterigmatocystin (STE) is a possible human carcinogenic compound (2B) according to the International Agency for Research on Cancer classification. Structurally, STE is a precursor to aflatoxins, sharing a similar polyketide-derived biosynthetic pathway, which underscores its toxicological relevance. It has been reported to occur in a variety of foodstuffs including cereals and cereal-based products, spices, cheese, and nuts, among others. STE poses a substantial challenge to food safety and addressing this issue requires a comprehensive strategy encompassing prevention, monitoring, and regulation to protect both human and animal health from its harmful effects. The present paper presents the analytical methodologies for the determination of STE in foodstuffs and the reported levels of STE in food, based on a review of scientific publications from 2021 to 2024. Significative progress has been made in the development of analytical methodologies for STE determination in food; however, further advancements in analytical techniques, standardized protocols, and monitoring are essential to improve risk assessment and guide effective mitigation strategies.

Keywords

Mycotoxins, sterigmatocystin, foods, analytical methods, concentration levels

Introduction

Mycotoxins are toxic secondary metabolites produced by various fungal species that tend to infest crops, leading to contamination both during growth and after harvest. These naturally occurring toxins are primarily synthesized by molds such as *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium*, and *Penicillium* species, which can contaminate human foods and animal feeds under certain favorable conditions, such as optimal levels of moisture, water activity, and temperature [1, 2]. According to data from the Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed (RASFF), mycotoxins are the most frequently reported toxic substances and therefore represent a significant concern in food safety and public health due to their widespread occurrence and their carcinogenic, genotoxic, and hepatotoxic potential. However, the presence of mycotoxins in food and feed

also has substantial economic implications due to crop losses and the costs associated with monitoring and decontamination processes [3].

Among mycotoxins, sterigmatocystin (STE) is a potent mycotoxin produced by certain fungi, particularly those belonging to the genera *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium*. The main producers *A. versicolor* and *A. nidulans* have garnered significant attention due to their widespread presence in cereals and animal feed [4]. Structurally, STE is a precursor to aflatoxins, the most potent carcinogenic mycotoxins known, sharing a similar polyketide-derived biosynthetic pathway, which underscores its toxicological relevance [2]. Specifically, STE is an intermediate in the biosynthetic pathway of aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) and AFG1 (Figure 1). In aflatogenic fungal species, STE is quickly converted into *O*-methylsterigmatocystin (OMST), the direct precursor of AFB1 and AFG1. Consequently, STE rarely accumulates, but certain species, such as *A. nidulans* and *A. versicolor*, appear unable to convert STE into OMST. As a result, substrates colonized by these fungi can contain high levels of STE [5].


Figure 1. Scheme showing the conversion of sterigmatocystin and *O*-methylsterigmatocystin to aflatoxins B1 and G1

Understanding the mechanisms behind the conversion of STE into aflatoxins is essential for identifying the factors influencing aflatoxin production and contamination in agricultural commodities. Detailed knowledge of the enzymatic steps involved in this process can inform the development of strategies to mitigate aflatoxin contamination in food and feed. Additionally, uncovering the regulatory mechanisms of this conversion presents opportunities to create novel biocontrol agents or biotechnological approaches to inhibit aflatoxin biosynthesis in fungal pathogens, thereby improving food safety [5].

STE's toxicity primarily stems from its ability to form DNA adducts, leading to mutations and carcinogenesis. It inhibits key cellular enzymes and disrupts protein synthesis, resulting in cell death and tissue damage. Studies have shown that STE induces oxidative stress and inflammation, contributing to its toxic effects [6, 7]. Exposure to STE is associated with various adverse health effects. Acute toxicity can result in liver and kidney damage, while chronic exposure is linked to an increased risk of liver cancer. Animal studies have demonstrated teratogenic effects, indicating potential risks to fetal development. According to the International Agency for Research on Cancer classification, STE is a possible human carcinogen (2B) [8].

STE is frequently detected in a variety of foodstuffs, including grains [9, 10], cereal products [11], nuts [12–14], coffee beans [15], cheese [16], and spices, among others, where its presence is often indicative of poor storage conditions and suboptimal agricultural practices [17]. Once contaminated, these products pose a significant risk to human and animal health if consumed.

The maximum levels of STE are not regulated within the European Union. Before their accession to the European Union, the Czech Republic and Slovakia had established STE limits of 5 µg/kg for certain cereals and milk [18]. Due to the lack of official STE control programs, there are no reliable assessments of human

and animal dietary exposure [19]. More occurrence data on STE in food and feed across European countries need to be collected to allow assessment of dietary exposure.

Overall, STE poses a substantial challenge to food safety. Addressing this issue requires a comprehensive strategy encompassing prevention, monitoring, and regulation to protect both human and animal health from its harmful effects.

The present paper presents the analytical methodologies for the determination of STE in foodstuffs and the reported levels in food, based on a review of scientific publications from 2021 to 2024.

Regulations

On April 25, 2023, the European Commission introduced a new regulation focused on establishing maximum limits for certain contaminants in foodstuffs, such as mycotoxins, including aflatoxins, ochratoxin A, patulin, deoxynivalenol, zearalenone, fumonisins, citrinin, ergot sclerotia, and ergot alkaloids [20]. Before joining the European Union, the Czech Republic and Slovakia established STE limits of 5 µg/kg for certain cereals and milk [18, 21]. However, the maximum limits of STE are not yet regulated within the European Union. The Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) has also evaluated STE, but there are no specific regulatory limits set by JECFA [22].

The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) in Europe delivered a scientific opinion on the risk to public health related to the presence of STE in food and feed [19]. However, the EFSA Panel on contaminants in the food chain (CONTAM Panel) concluded that the available occurrence data were too limited to carry out a reliable human and animal dietary exposure assessment and reinforced the need for more occurrence data on STE in food and feed. Regarding the performance criteria of the analytical methods, a limit of quantification (LOQ) of less than 1.5 µg/kg should be applied [19].

Analytical methodologies for the determination of STE in food

Advanced detection methods are required to monitor and quantify STE levels in agricultural products, considering the LOQ set by EFSA. Current analytical methodologies for determining STE concentration levels in food have advanced significantly, enhancing selectivity, sensitivity, and accuracy. Table 1 summarizes the procedure and the analytical performance of the methodologies developed for the determination of STE in food from 2021 to 2024 (Scopus database, Elsevier).

Liquid chromatography (LC) was the most widely employed technique due to its high-resolution power, being coupled with diode array (DAD) [30, 37, 44], fluorescence (FD) [56], or mass spectrometry (MS) [26, 35, 57] detectors. Reverse-phase chromatography with octadecyl silica (C18) stationary phase was the primary type of chromatographic column used for the separation of STE from other mycotoxins and food constituents. Columns with an average particle diameter of 5 µm were typically used in conventional LC [24, 32, 38], while 1.8 µm diameter columns were employed in ultra-high-performance LC (UHPLC) applications [26, 36]. Chromatographic separation was mainly based on reverse phase mechanisms, using columns, such as Acquity UPLC BEH C18 [35], Acquity UPLC HSS T3 [26], and Symmetry-C18 [24] from Waters Corporation (Milford, MA, USA), Gemini C18 [48] from Phenomenex (Torrance, CA, USA), and Zorbax Eclipse Plus C18 [36, 43] from Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA, USA). Regarding the mobile phase, methanol/water and acetonitrile (ACN)/water gradients were employed to achieve the right separation of STE from other sample constituents, with small amounts of formic acid (FA), acetic acid, and/or ammonium buffers added as modifiers.

LC coupled to tandem MS (LC-MS/MS) provides the highest selectivity and sensitivity, making it the preferred technique in the currently developed methodologies developed for the identification and quantification of STE at trace levels in complex food matrices. Moreover, LC-MS/MS technique allows the development of multiresidue analysis for the determination of STE and other mycotoxins in a wide variety of food matrices, using electrospray ionization in both positive and negative modes. For example, aflatoxins (B1, B2, M1, M2, P1), ochratoxins (A, B), enniatins (A, A1, B, B1), beauvericin, citrinin, dihydrocitrinone,

Table 1. Analytical performance of methodologies developed for the determination of sterigmatocystin (STE) in food from 2021 to 2024

Sample	Extraction	Determination	LOD/LOQ (µg/kg or µg/L)	Recovery (%)	Concentration levels (µg/kg) (number of samples, detection rate)	Ref
Brown rice, wheat	25 g sample, 100 mL ACN:water (17:3, v/v)	LC-MS/MS	0.02–0.03/0.05–0.09	86–102	Brown rice 0.35–5.70	[23]
	SPE (Horiba Aflaking IAC)	InertSustain C18 (150 mm × 2.1 mm, 3 µm)			Polished rice 0.02–0.30	
	Dried and reconstituted				Wheat 0.05–2.20	
		2 mM NH$_4$Ac in water/2 mM NH$_4$Ac in ACN			Bread 0.02–0.20	
					Baked sweets 0.01–0.20	
					Noodles 0.01–0.80	
Rice, maize, soybean	5 g sample, 25 mL MeOH:water (7:3, v/v)	LC-FD	-	81–95	-	[24]
	30 min shaking	Symmetry C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm)				
	SPE (HMON@MIP)	ACN:water (32:68, v/v)				
	TFA + hexane derivatization					
	Dried and reconstituted					
Chilli, pepper	50 g sample, 10% KCl in ACN	GO-FAM-FRET	24/132	71–89	-	[25]
	LLE (2x hexane)					
	LLE (hexane:CHCl$_3$, 1:1 v/v)					
	Dried and reconstituted					
Soaked rice, steamed rice, fermented rice, fermented wine	QuEChERS	LC-MS/MS	0.01–0.07/0.03–0.25	73–119	-	[26]
		Acquity UPLC HSS T3 (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.8 µm)				
		0.1% FA + 2 mM AF in water/0.1% FA + 2 mM AF in ACN				
Wheat	10 g sample, 25 mL ACN:water (9:1, v/v), 1 g MgSO$_4$, 1 g NaCl	LC-DAD	0.63/-	88–97	3.4–4.5	[27]
	30 min shacking					
	20 min centrifugation					
	MSPE (Fe$_3$O$_4$-MIP) elution 5 mL MeOH:TEA (9:1, v/v)					
	20 min shaking					
	Dried and reconstituted					

Table 1. Analytical performance of methodologies developed for the determination of sterigmatocystin (STE) in food from 2021 to 2024 (*continued*)

Sample	Extraction	Determination	LOD/LOQ (µg/kg or µg/L)	Recovery (%)	Concentration levels (µg/kg) (number of samples, detection rate)	Ref
Cereals, nuts, vegetables, oil, noodle, paste, seasoned food, instant food	5 g sample, 20 mL 0.1% FA in ACN:water (1:1, v/v)	LC-MS/MS	0.4–0.9/1.2–2.8	69–112	Processed foods 0.08–1.93 (*n* = 522, 4.2%)	[28]
	30 min shaking	Imtakt Cadenza C18 (100 mm × 2 mm, 3 µm)				
	10 min centrifugation	0.1% FA + 5 mM AF in water/0.1% FA + 5 mM AF in MeOH			Agricultural products 0.08–10.07 (*n* = 613, 3.9%)	
	SPE (Isolute Myco) elution 2 mL 0.1% FA in ACN + 4 mL MeOH					
	Dried and reconstituted					
Black, green, and Oolong teas	QuEChERS	LC-MS/MS	0.04–0.12/0.13–0.40	101–118	0.13–0.48 (*n* = 126, 13.5%)	[29]
	5.0 g sample, ACN:water (75:25, v/v)	Shim-pack XR-ODS III (75 mm × 2.0 mm, 1.6 µm)				
	30 min UAE, 1 g NaCl, 1 g MgSO$_4$	0.1% FA + 5 mM AF in water/0.1% FA + 5 mM AF in ACN				
	5 min centrifugation					
	dSPE (C18)					
	5 min centrifugation					
Wheat	5 g sample, 20 mL ACN:water (8:2, v/v)	LC-DAD	1.1/3.5	89–103	-	[30]
	10 min UAE	Hedera ODS-2 (250 mm × 4.6 mm)				
	5 min centrifugation	MeOH:water (60:40 v/v)				
	MSPE (MHNTs@MIP) elution 5.3 mL EtOH:HAc (9:1, v/v)					
	Dried and reconstituted					
Roasted coffee bean, black pepper	10 g sample, 40 mL MeOH:water (8:2, v/v)	LC-MS/MS	0.03/0.10	92–105	0.08–0.87 (*n* = 18, 22%)	[31]
	5 min shaking	Acquity CSH C18 (150 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.7 µm)				
	2 min centrifugation					
	SPE (Envi-carb SPE) elution 6 mL toluene	0.05 M FA and AF in MeOH:water (8:2, v/v)/water				
	Hexane cleaning					
	SPE (IAC) elution 2 mL ACN					
Rice, wheat	5 g sample, 10 mL ACN:water (8:2, v/v)	LC-MS/MS	0.9–1.5/3.0–4.5	92–102	-	[32]
	10 min UAE	ODS (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm)				
	5 min centrifugation	ACN:MeOH:water (22:22:55, v/v/v)/ACN:MeOH:water (35:35:30, v/v/v)				

Table 1. Analytical performance of methodologies developed for the determination of sterigmatocystin (STE) in food from 2021 to 2024 (*continued*)

Sample	Extraction	Determination	LOD/LOQ (µg/kg or µg/L)	Recovery (%)	Concentration levels (µg/kg) (number of samples, detection rate)	Ref
	Dried and redissolved in 10 mL water					
	15 min dSPE [SiO$_2$@mPMO-IL(im)$_2$] elution 2 mL MeOH					
	5 min centrifugation					
	Dried and reconstituted					
Coix seed	5 g sample, 20 mL 0.1% FA in ACN:water (7:3, v/v)	SIDA-UHPLC-MS/MS	0.03/0.10	83–88	LOQ–23 (*n* = 60, 83%)	[33]
	30 min mechanically shaking					
	5 min centrifugation					
Mango, litchi, longan, and their products	2 g sample, 10 mL 1% HAc in ACN:water (8:2, v/v)	LC-MS/MS	0.01/0.04	84–116	-	[34]
	10 min UAE	Acquity BEH C18 (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.7 µm)				
	5 min centrifugation	Water/0.2% FA in ACN				
	dSPE (PSA, C18)					
	10 min vortex shaking					
	5 min centrifugation					
	Dried and reconstituted tube					
Honey	1.5 g sample, 3 mL water, 2.5 mL ACN, 1 g MgSO$_4$, 0.25 g NaCl, 0.25 g Na$_3$Cit, 0.125 g Na$_2$HCit	LC-MS/MS	0.3/1.0	101–103	0.4–18.7 (*n* = 57, 3.5%)	[35]
	1 min hand shaking	Eclipse Plus C18 RRHT (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.8 µm)				
	10 min centrifugation	0.2 M NH$_4$HCO$_3$ in water/0.2 M NH$_4$HCO$_3$ in ACN				
	2 min dSPE (MgSO$_4$)					
	10 min centrifugation					
	Dried and reconstituted					
Pale lager beer	25 mL sample, pH adjustment to 7.4	LC-MS/MS	-	27	-	[36]
	SPE (11$^+$Myco MS-PREP IAC) elution 2 mL MeOH	Acquity UPLC BEH C18 (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.7 µm)				
	Dried and reconstituted	1 mM NH$_4$Ac + 0.5% HAc + 0.1% FA in water/0.5% HAc + 0.1% FA in MeOH				

Table 1. Analytical performance of methodologies developed for the determination of sterigmatocystin (STE) in food from 2021 to 2024 (*continued*)

Sample	Extraction		Determination		LOD/LOQ (µg/kg or µg/L)	Recovery (%)	Concentration levels (µg/kg) (number of samples, detection rate)	Ref
Rice	5 g sample, 10 mL ACN:water (8:2, v/v)		LC-DAD		0.4/1.2	79–95	1.2–2.2 (*n* = 56, 3.6%)	[37]
	10 min UAE		ODS column (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm)					
	5 min centrifugation							
	Dried and reconstituted in 10 mL water		Water/0.05% H_3PO_4 in ACN					
	25 min MSPE (Fe_3O_4/ZIFs) elution 2 mL 10% FA in ACN							
	Dried and reconstituted							
Cocoa beans	7.5 g sample, 18 mL 5% HAc in ACN:water (7:3, v/v), 3 g NaCl		LC-MS/MS		3/10	97–109	10–11 (*n* = 135, 1.5%)	[38]
	60 min shaking		Acquity UPLC BEH C18 (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.7 µm)					
	15 min freezing, –70°C		0.1% FA + 5 mM AF + 2% MeOH in water/0.1% FA in ACN					
	10 min centrifugation							
Spice, herb	-		LC-MS/MS		-	-	0.4–7.8 (*n* = 155, 4%)	[39]
Rice, wheat	5 g sample, 10 mL 10% FA in water, 10 mL ACN, 4 g $MgSO_4$, 1 g NaCl, 1 g Na_3Cit, 0.5 g Na_2HCit		LC-MS/MS		2/-	-	-	[40]
			Acquity HSS T3 C18 (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.8 µm)					
	5 min shaking		1% HAc + 5 mM NH_4Ac in water/1% HAc + 5 mM NH_4Ac in MeOH					
	5 min centrifugation							
	SPE (Oasis Prime HLB)							
Arecae semen	2 g sample, 15 mL 0.2% FA in ACN:water (84:16, v/v)		LC-MS/MS		0.3/1.0	94–105	LOQ–2.2 (*n* = 20, 15%)	[41]
	10 min UAE		Acquity UPLC BEH C18 (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.7 µm)					
	10 min centrifugation		0.1% FA in MeOH/2 mM AF in water					
	S-µSPE (MycoSpin 400)							
	Dried and reconstituted							
Plant-based milk alternatives	No sample treatment		ELISA		2/- (ELISA)	-	Soy (*n* = 7, 14%)	[42]
	10 mL sample	LLE (EtAc)	LC-MS/MS	Phenomenex C18 (100 mm × 3.0 mm, 5.0 µm)			Almond (*n* = 7, 0%)	
		Dried and reconstituted in PBS					Oat (*n* = 14, 14%)	
		SPE (VICAM AflaTest WB SR+ IAC) elution 3 mL MeOH		0.1% FA + 300 mg/L AF in water/0.1% FA + 300 mg/L AF in MeOH			Others (*n* = 26, 8%)	

Table 1. Analytical performance of methodologies developed for the determination of sterigmatocystin (STE) in food from 2021 to 2024 (*continued*)

Sample	Extraction	Determination	LOD/LOQ (µg/kg or µg/L)	Recovery (%)	Concentration levels (µg/kg) (number of samples, detection rate)	Ref
Edible oil, soy sauce, bean sauce	2 g sample, 20 mL ACN:water (8:2, v/v)	LC-HRMS	0.3/1.0	71–104	Sesame oil LOQ–2.9 (*n* = 12, 8%)	[43]
	10 min orbital shaking	Accucore aQ C18 (150 mm × 2.1 mm, 2.6 µm)				
	5 min centrifugation					
	LLE (Hexane)	0.1% FA in water/0.1% FA in MeOH				
	3 min centrifugation					
	SPE (Oasis PRiME HLB)					
	Dried and reconstituted					
Corn, millet, rice, soybean, oats	5 g sample, 25 mL ACN:water (8:2, v/v)	LC-DAD	2/8	79–98	-	[44]
	30 min shaking	Waters Symmetry-C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm)				
	Centrifugation					
	SPE (COF@MIP) elution 5 mL ACN	MeOH:water (80:20, v/v)				
	Dried and reconstituted					
Rice bran, maize	1 g sample, 4 mL 1% FA in ACN:water (8:2, v/v)	LC-MS/MS	0.5/2.5	92–105	Rice bran 2.8–272.3 (*n* = 125, 98%)	[45]
	90 min vortex shaking	Gemini C18 (100 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm)				
	15 min centrifugation	5 mM NH_4Ac + 1% HAC in water/5 mM NH_4Ac + 1% HAC in MeOH			Maize 0.3–17.9 (*n* = 125, 43%)	
Dry-cured meat products	QuEChERS	LC-MS/MS	0.02/0.06	114	0.10–3.93 (*n* = 250, 4%)	[46]
	SPE defatting (Captiva EMR-Lipid)	Gemini (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm)				
	SPE (Easi-extract sterigmatocystin IAC)					
Licorice	2 g sample, 20 mL ACN:water (84:16, v/v)	LC-MS/MS	0.09/0.30	107–116	-	[47]
	30 min UAE	Acquity UPLC BEH C18 (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.7 µm)				
	QuEChERS (4 g $MgSO_4$, 1 g NaCl, 1 g Na_3Cit, 0.5 g Na_2HCit)	5 mM NH_4Ac + 0.1% NH_3 in water/5 mM NH_4Ac + 0.1% NH_3 in MeOH				
	30 min MSPE [Fe_3O_4@PDA/MIL-101(Cr)]					
	Dried and reconstituted					
Long-ripened Grana cheese	10 g sample, 50 mL ACN:water (8:2, v/v)	LC-MS/MS	0.05/0.15	87–92	LOQ–6.9 (*n* = 107, 94%)	[48]
	60 min rotary shaking	Betasil RP-18 (150 mm × 2.1 mm, 5 µm)				
	Filtration, 2 mL PBS					

Table 1. Analytical performance of methodologies developed for the determination of sterigmatocystin (STE) in food from 2021 to 2024 (*continued*)

Sample	Extraction	Determination	LOD/LOQ (µg/kg or µg/L)	Recovery (%)	Concentration levels (µg/kg) (number of samples, detection rate)	Ref
	SPE (R-Biopharm-Rhône IAC) elution 6 mL ACN	0.2% FA in water/0.2% FA in ACN				
	Dried and reconstituted					
Pseudostellariae Radix	ACN:water (8:2, v/v)	LC-MS/MS	-	-	1.5–69.6 (*n* = 26, 38%)	[49]
	1 h shaking	Acquity HSS T3 (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.8 µm)				
	dSPE (PSA + C18 + MgSO$_4$)	0.1% FA in water/0.1% FA in ACN				
Peanut butter, hazelnut butter, chocolate	5 g sample, 20 mL JSM FO 9704	LC-MS/MS	0.01–0.02/0.05–0.15	94–100	0.2–2.2	[50]
	15 min shaking					
	5 min centrifugation					
Cocoa	7.5 g sample, 18 mL 0.5% HAc in ACN:water (7:3, v/v), 3 g NaCl	LC-MS/MS	-	-	2.4–3.3 (*n* = 18, 11%)	[51]
	60 min shaking	Titan C18 (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.9 µm)				
	15 min frozen at –70°C					
	10 min centrifugation					
Carob	1 g sample, 10 mL water, 10 mL 1% HAc in ACN	LC-MS/MS	-	-	0.15–0.18 (*n* = 22, 14%)	[51]
	10 min UAE	Titan C18 (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.9 µm)				
	10 min shaking, 1 g NaCl, 4 g MgSO$_4$					
	Dried and reconstituted					
Cheese	2.5 g sample, 5 mL 0.1% FA in ACN, 5 mL saturated MgSO$_4$	LC-MS/MS	0.01/0.04	100–106	0.08–4.99 (*n* = 11, 82%)	[52]
	30 min shaking	Gemini C18 (100 mm × 3.0 mm, 5.0 µm)				
	7 min centrifugation	0.1% FA + 300 mg/L AF in water/0.1% FA + 300 mg/L AF in MeOH				
	Defatting, 4 mL heptane					
	5 min shaking					
	Dried and reconstituted					
Goat, camel, and cow milk	1 mL sample, 1 mL 1% FA in ACN, 0.4 g MgSO$_4$, 0.1 g NaCl	LC-MS/MS	-	-	LOQ–7.7 (*n* = 135, 14%)	[53]
	10 min centrifugation	Acquity HSS T3 (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.8 µm)				

Table 1. Analytical performance of methodologies developed for the determination of sterigmatocystin (STE) in food from 2021 to 2024 (*continued*)

Sample	Extraction		Determination	LOD/LOQ (µg/kg or µg/L)	Recovery (%)	Concentration levels (µg/kg) (number of samples, detection rate)	Ref
			0.1% HAc + 5 mM NH_4Ac in water/0.1% HAc + 5 mM NH_4Ac in MeOH				
Cereal-based baby food	2 g sample, 10 mL JSM FO 9704		LC-MS/MS	0.02/0.07	100	0.02–0.50 (*n* = 85, 34%)	[54]
	15 min shaking						
	5 min centrifugation						
Rice, peanut, maize, sorghum	5 g sample, 20 mL 1% HAc in ACN:water (8:2, v/v)		LC-MS/MS	-	-	Peanut 0.1–30 (*n* = 53, 40%)	[55]
						Maize 0.1–12 (*n* = 142, 26%)	
						Rice 0.1–2.2 (*n* = 23, 48%)	
						Sorghum 0.1–2.5 (*n* = 24, 12%)	
Hazelnut kernels	25 g sample, 100 mL MeOH:water (8:2, v/v), 5 g NaCl		LC-FLD	1.3/4.2	81–87	9–101 (*n* = 30, 5%)	[56]
	3 min extraction		ODS2 C18-300 (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 3 µm)				
	PBS dilution		120 mg/L KBr + 350 µL/L HNO_3 in ACN:MeOH:water (10:15:75, v/v)				
	SPE (Easi-Extract Sterigmatocystin IAC) elution 1.5 mL ACN						
	Dried and reconstituted						
Herbs, herbal infusions	1 g herb sample, 5 mL water, 30 min shaking	5 mL 1% FA in ACN, 2 g $MgSO_4$, 1 g NaCl	LC-MS/MS	0.5–20/2.5–40	73–101	34–147 (*n* = 58, 19%)	[57]
		1 h orbital shaking	Kinetex C18 (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 2.6 µm)				
		15 min centrifugation	5 mM NH_4Ac in water:MeOH:HAc (94:5:1, v/v)/water:MeOH:HAc (2:97:1, v/v)				
		dSPE (C18, Z-sep+)					
		Dried and reconstituted					
	1 g infusion sample, 50 mL hot water, 15 min shacking	5 min centrifugation					
		5 mL ACN, 2 g $MgSO_4$, 1 g NaCl					

Sample	Extraction		Determination	LOD/LOQ (µg/kg or µg/L)	Recovery (%)	Concentration levels (µg/kg) (number of samples, detection rate)	Ref
		5 min centrifugation					
		Dried and reconstituted					
Malt, beer	5 g malt sample, 2 g MgSO$_4$, 1 g NaCl, 15 mL ACN:water (75:25, v/v)	6 min centrifugation	LC-HRMS/MS	5/12	90–97	LOQ (*n* = 47, 0%)	[58]
		d-SPE (MgSO$_4$, C18)	Kinetex Core-Shell F5 100 A (2.6 µm)				
		Dried and reconstituted	0.1% HAc + 4 mM NH$_4$Ac in water/0.1% HAc + 4 mM NH$_4$Ac in ACN				
	5 mL beer sample, 5 mL ACN, 2 g MgSO$_4$, 1 g NaCl	3 min UAE					
		5 min centrifugation					
		dSPE (MgSO$_4$, C18)					
		Dried and reconstituted					
Rice bran	20 g sample, 80 mL MeOH:water (8:2, v/v)		LC-MS/MS	2.5/5.0	99	LOQ (*n* = 24, 0%)	[59]
	15 min UAE		Accucore C18 (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 2.6 µm)				
	5 min centrifugation						
	DLLME (CHCl$_3$/water)						
	5 min centrifugation						
	Dried and reconstituted						
Milled rice	5 g sample, 20 mL 1% FA in ACN:water (8:2, v/v)		LC-MS/MS	0.03/0.09	80	LOQ–7 (*n* = 200, 74%)	[60]
	90 min shaking		Synergi Hydro-RP (100 mm × 3 mm, 2.5 µm)				
			1% FA and 10 mM NH$_4$Ac in water/MeOH				
Dairy products	2 g sample, 8 mL 2% FA in ACN		LC-MS/MS	0.005/0.020	73	LOQ (*n* = 76, 0%)	[61]
	30 min UAE		Shiseido C18 (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 3 µm)				
	5 min centrifugation						
	SPE (Captiva EMR-lipid)		0.1% FA in water/0.1% FA in MeOH				
	Dried and reconstituted						

Table 1. Analytical performance of methodologies developed for the determination of sterigmatocystin (STE) in food from 2021 to 2024 (*continued*)

Sample	Extraction	Determination	LOD/LOQ (µg/kg or µg/L)	Recovery (%)	Concentration levels (µg/kg) (number of samples, detection rate)	Ref
Milling oats	5 g sample, 20 mL 1% HAc in ACN:water (8:2, v/v)	LC-MS/MS	-/1	95	1–7 (*n* = 281, 2.3%)	[62]
	90 min shaking	Eclipse Plus C18 (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.8 µm)				
	S-µSPE (MycoSpin 400)	0.1% HAc + 5 mM NH$_4$Ac in water/0.1% HAc + 5 mM NH$_4$Ac in MeOH				
	Dried and reconstituted					
Garlic	5 g sample, 20 mL 1% HAc in ACN:water (8:2, v/v)	LC-MS/MS	0.05/0.14	90	3–32 (*n* = 36, 100%)	[63]
	Vortex shaking	Gemini C18 (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm)				
Coix seed	5 g sample, 20 mL 1% FA in ACN:water (7:3, v/v)	LC-HRMS	-/1	76–89	1–51 (*n* = 77, 30%)	[64]
	20 min vortex shaking	CORTECS C18 (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.6 µm)				
	5 min centrifugation	0.1% FA + 1 mM NH$_4$Ac in water/0.1% FA + 1 mM NH$_4$Ac in MeOH				

-: not indicated. SPE: solid-phase extraction; LOD: limit of detection; LOQ: limit of quantification; LC: liquid chromatography; MS: mass spectrometry; HMON@MIP: hollow-structured microporous organic networks coated with molecularly imprinted polymers; FD: fluorescence; GO-FAM-FRET: graphene oxide-aptamer-FD resonance energy transfer; QuEChERS: quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged, and safe; MSPE: magnetic SPE; DAD: diode array; UAE: ultrasound-assisted extraction; dSPE: dispersive SPE; SiO$_2$@mPMO-IL(im)$_2$: ionic liquid-functionalized mesoporous multipod silica; UHPLC: ultra-high-performance LC; PSA: primary secondary amine; ZIFs: zeolitic imidazolate frameworks; COF@MIP: MIPs-coated covalent organic framework nanoflowers; DLLME: dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction; HRMS: high-resolution MS; ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; ACN: acetonitrile; IAC: immunoaffinity column; TFA: trifluoroacetic acid; LLE: liquid-liquid extraction; FA: formic acid; AF: ammonium formiate; TEA: triethylamine; SIDA: stable isotope dilution assay; S-µSPE: spin micro SPE; PBS: phosphate buffer saline; FLD: fluorescence detector; MHNTs@MIP: magnetic halloysite nanotubes coated molecular imprinted polymer

zearalanol, and alternariol monomethyl ether were detected in camel, cow, and goat milk (36 analyzed mycotoxins) [53]; and 3-acetyl-deoxynivalenol, 15-acetyl-deoxynivalenol, fusarenone-X, patulin, deepoxy-deoxynivalenol, tenuazonic were also detected in fresh and dried mango, litchi and longan fruits, and processed products (44 analyzed mycotoxins) [34].

One of the most relevant and recent innovations in the development of methodologies for the multiresidue analysis of mycotoxins focuses on the use of high-resolution MS (HRMS). This technique allows not only the unambiguous identification of the mycotoxins present in food samples but also allows the non-targeted analysis of the obtained data, enabling the identification of additional compounds. In this sense, LC-HRMS/MS has been applied in the determination of STE in food samples, providing extreme selectivity and high sensitivity. Some recent examples include the simultaneous determination of legislated and emerging mycotoxins in rice and wheat grains [37], malted barley and beer [58], and coix seeds [33], using quadrupole-time of flight MS detectors. Moreover, LC-HRMS/MS approaches enable the identification of emerging mycotoxins and unknown compounds without analytical standards in current and retrospective analyses, especially using Orbitrap mass spectrometers. This includes the determination of STE and other mycotoxins in coix seeds [64], as well as in edible oil, soy sauce, and bean sauce [43].

The use of immunoassays, such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs), is a valuable alternative or complementary approach to LC-MS/MS, offering a rapid and cost-effective screening tool suitable for high-throughput analysis. However, it may be less specific and sensitive compared to chromatographic techniques. In the last decades, ELISA has been widely employed for determining mycotoxins in food [65]. Recently, ELISA has been widely employed for the determination of STE, AFB1, ochratoxin A, deoxynivalenol, and T-2/HT-2-toxin in soy, oat, almond, and coconut-based milk alternatives. Significant sample matrix interferences were observed even with a 1:8 dilution, compromising both result accuracy and detection limits [42]. With significant technological advancements in LC-MS instrumentation enabling highly sensitive multitoxin analysis, ELISA is now losing its prominent position. Nevertheless, rapid and cost-effective ELISA tests still hold great potential as a screening tool to reduce the number of samples that need to be analyzed by reference official methodologies.

Moreover, cutting-edge methodologies have been developed, such as the graphene oxide-aptamer-FD resonance energy transfer (GO-FAM-FRET) one-step FD turn-on aptasensor for the one-step detection of STE in chili and pepper, with insignificant interferences from salts and detergents and negligible cross-reactivity with other mycotoxins [25].

Despite the great advancements in analytical methodologies, challenges remain in ensuring consistent and reliable STE detection across various food products. Matrix effect may complicate the accuracy and precision of LC-MS/MS measurements. Thus, efforts to standardize sample preparation protocols and improve extraction efficiencies are ongoing to address these issues. Quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged, and safe (QuEChERS) based methodologies have been validated for the multianalyte determination of mycotoxins, including STE, in a wide variety of food samples, such as mango, litchi, longan, and their products [34], black, green, and Oolong teas [29], Pseudostellariae Radix [49], and dry-cured meat products [46]. STE extraction is carried out using ACN:water or methanol:water buffers, usually accelerated by using ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE) [27], followed by a dispersive solid-phase extraction (dSPE) to clean up the extracts, using $MgSO_4$ [35], C18 [29], $MgSO_4$ and C18 [58], $MgSO_4$, C18, and primary secondary amine (PSA) [49], or even specifically dedicated sorbents like an ionic liquid-functionalized mesoporous multipod silica $[SiO_2@mPMO-IL(im)_2]$ [32].

The use of SPE was frequently employed for a more selective extraction of STE from extracts using immunoaffinity columns (IACs), such as Easi-extract sterigmatocystin (R-Biopharm AG, Pfungstadt, Germany) specific for STE extraction [46, 48, 56], Aflaking (Horiba, Kyoto, Japan) [23] and AflaTest WB SR+ (VICAM, Watertown, MA, USA) [42] specific for aflatoxin related mycotoxins, and Isolute Myco (Biotage, Uppsala, Sweden) [28] and 11+Myco MS-PREP (R-Biopharm AG, Pfungstadt, Germany) [36] for a generic extraction of mycotoxins. Conventional SPE cartridges have also been proposed for clean-up purposes, such as Oasis PRiME HLB (Waters Corporation) [40, 43] and Supelclean Envi-carb (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) [31]. Captiva EMR-lipid (Agilent Technologies) SPE columns were employed for lipid removal of fatty samples, such as dry-cured meat [46] and dairy products [61]. Additionally, specifically synthesized solid sorbents were also employed for mycotoxin extraction, such as hollow-structured microporous organic networks coated with molecularly imprinted polymers (HMON@MIP) [24], and MIPs-coated covalent organic framework nanoflowers (COF@MIP) [44] for the specific enrichment of STE and aflatoxins from cereal extracts.

Magnetic SPE (MSPE) has been proposed by many authors to improve the cleaning-up of sample extract in QuEChERS-based methodologies, using Fe_3O_4-based magnetic sorbents coated with MIPs [27], zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs) [37], and polydopamine/metal-organic framework [PDA/MIL-101(Cr)] [47] for the determination of STE in wheat, rice, and licorice samples, respectively. Moreover, magnetic halloysite nanotubes were also proposed as magnetic sorbents coated with a specific MIP for the selective enrichment of STE in wheat samples [30].

Finally, other minority approaches have been employed for the clean-up of samples extracts, such as centrifugation-assisted SPE using selective MycoSpin 400 (Romer Labs, Tulln, Austria) cartridges in survey of mycotoxins made in Arecae semen [41] and milling oats [62], and dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction (DLLME) with chloroform for multi-mycotoxin determination in rice bran [59].

Concentration levels and detection rate of STE in food

Occurrence data of STE in food raises an important issue in food safety due to its carcinogenic potential. Data from scientific publications from the year 2021 to 2024 are shown in Table 1. As can be seen, the presence of STE in foodstuffs has been reported in a limited number of publications. Figure 2 shows the concentration levels (on a logarithmic scale), classified in the food categories cereals and cereal-based products (in green); herbs, seeds, and spices (in orange); and miscellanea (in blue; including cocoa, coffee, cheese, honey, meat products, nuts, garlic, and others). Results show that concentrations of STE in cereal and cereal-based products range from a few µg/kg up to more than 250 µg/kg. The highest level of STE (272.3 µg/kg) was detected in rice bran from Southeast Asia [45], while the lowest levels were detected in cereal products, such as noodles (0.01–0.8 µg/kg) [23], cereal-based baby food (0.02–0.5 µg/kg) [54], and bread (0.02–0.2 µg/kg) [23]. STE was also detected in maize (0.1–17.9 µg/kg) [24, 45, 55], oats (1–7 µg/kg) [62], brown rice (0.35–5.7 µg/kg) [23], white rice (0.02–2.2 µg/kg) [23, 37, 55], and wheat (0.05–2.2 µg/kg) [23]. Overall, the STE concentration levels in cereals and cereal-based products show that the highest levels were found in bran or non-treated cereals, whereas products like polished rice or cereal-based products presented the lowest levels. The comparative analysis of mycotoxin levels in whole cereals versus cereal-based products underscores the importance of food processing and quality control in reducing mycotoxin contamination. While whole cereals are more prone to higher mycotoxin contamination due to direct exposure and favorable conditions for fungal growth, cereal-based products benefit from processes that reduce mycotoxin levels, making them generally safer for consumption.


**Figure 2.** Decimal logarithm of maximum concentration levels of sterigmatocystin in cereals and cereal-based products (green bar), herbs, seeds, and spices (orange bar), and miscellanea (blue bar). The numbers on the bars indicate the concentration (µg/kg)

Regarding the category herbs, seeds, and spices, the highest level of STE (34–147 µg/kg) was found in herbs and herbal infusions [57] and the lowest levels were detected in tea (0.13–0.48 µg/kg) [29]. STE levels were found also in Pseudostellariae Radix (1.5–69.6 µg/kg) [49], coix seed (1–51 µg/kg) [33, 64], sesame oil (LOQ–2.9 µg/kg) [43], and Arecae semen (LOQ–2.2 µg/kg) [41].

In the miscellanea category, data showed that high concentrations of STE were found in nuts such as hazelnut (0.02–101 µg/kg) [50, 56], peanuts (0.1–30 µg/kg) [55], and garlic (3–32 µg/kg) [63]. STE was also found in honey (0.4–18.7 µg/kg) [35], cocoa (2.4–11 µg/kg) [38, 51], milk (LOQ–7.7 µg/kg) [53], cheese (0.08–4.99 µg/kg) [52, 61], meat products (0.1–3.93 µg/kg) [46], coffee (0.08–0.87 µg/kg) [31], and

carob (0.15–0.18 μg/kg) [51]. In cheese, contamination occurs particularly on the surface after fungal deterioration during ripening and storage.

On the other hand, the frequency of detection of STE is influenced by food type, geographical region, and the testing methods used. Cereals and grains show the highest prevalence, particularly in regions with conducive climates for fungal growth. As can be observed in Figure 3, no differences were observed in the frequency of detection between food categories (cereal and cereal-based products 2.3–98%, herbs, seeds, and spices 4–83%, and miscellanea 1.5–100%). The highest detection frequency of STE (100%) was found in garlic [63], followed by rice bran (98%) [45], and cheese (82–94%) [48, 52].

Figure 3. Detection rate of sterigmatocystin in cereals and cereal-based products (green bar), herbs, seeds, and spices (orange bar), and miscellanea (blue bar). Legend shows the number of analyzed samples

Conclusions

The ongoing development and refinement of analytical methodologies are crucial for maintaining the safety of the food supply. Collaborative efforts between researchers, regulatory bodies, and industry stakeholders are essential to enhance detection capabilities, standardize testing protocols, and ensure effective regulatory enforcement. Additionally, continued research into the occurrence, distribution, and toxicity of STE will inform risk assessments and guide the development of more targeted and effective mitigation strategies.

In conclusion, while significant progress has been made in the analytical determination of STE and other mycotoxins in food, continued advancements are necessary to address existing challenges and ensure the safety of the food supply. Enhanced analytical techniques, standardized protocols, and rigorous monitoring are critical components of an integrated approach to managing STE contamination and assessing the risk assessment of dietary exposure in populations. By prioritizing these efforts, we can protect public health and maintain consumer confidence in the safety of our food systems.

Abbreviations

AFB1: aflatoxin B1

EFSA: European Food Safety Authority

ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

FD: fluorescence

HRMS: high-resolution mass spectrometry

LC: liquid chromatography

LOQ: limit of quantification

MS: mass spectrometry

SPE: solid-phase extraction

STE: sterigmatocystin

Declarations

Author contributions

OP: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing—original draft, Writing—review & editing. FAET: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing—original draft, Writing—review & editing.

Conflicts of interest

Olga Pardo and Francesc A. Esteve-Turrillas, who are the Guest Editors of *Exploration of Foods and Foodomics* had no involvement in the decision-making or the review process of this manuscript.

Ethical approval

Not applicable.

Consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent to publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Funding

Copyright

References

1. Ojuri OT, Ezekiel CN, Sulyok M, Ezeokoli OT, Oyedele OA, Ayeni KI, et al. Assessing the mycotoxicological risk from consumption of complementary foods by infants and young children in Nigeria. Food Chem Toxicol. 2018;121:37–50. [DOI] [PubMed]
2. Bennett JW, Klich M. Mycotoxins. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2003;16:497–516. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]
3. Wu F. Measuring the economic impacts of *Fusarium* toxins in animal feeds. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 2007;137:363–74. [DOI]

4.   Gruber-Dorninger C, Novak B, Nagl V, Berthiller F. Emerging Mycotoxins: Beyond Traditionally Determined Food Contaminants. J Agric Food Chem. 2017;65:7052–70. [DOI] [PubMed]

5.   Yu J. Current understanding on aflatoxin biosynthesis and future perspective in reducing aflatoxin contamination. Toxins (Basel). 2012;4:1024–57. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

6.   Zingales V, Fernández-Franzón M, Ruiz MJ. Sterigmatocystin-induced cytotoxicity via oxidative stress induction in human neuroblastoma cells. Food Chem Toxicol. 2020;136:110956. [DOI] [PubMed]

7.   Zain ME. Impact of mycotoxins on humans and animals. J Saudi Chem Soc. 2011;15:129–44. [DOI]

8.   IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Some Traditional Herbal Medicines, Some Mycotoxins, Naphthalene and Styrene. Lyon (FR): International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2002. [PubMed] [PMC]

9.   Bertuzzi T, Romani M, Rastelli S, Mulazzi A, Pietri A. Sterigmatocystin Occurrence in Paddy and Processed Rice Produced in Italy in the Years 2014–2015 and Distribution in Milled Rice Fractions. Toxins (Basel). 2017;9:86. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

10. Mo HGJ, Pietri A, MacDonald SJ, Anagnostopoulos C, Spanjer M. Survey on sterigmatocystin in food. EFSA Supporting Publ. 2015;12:774E. [DOI]

11. Veršilovskis A, Bartkevičs V. Stability of sterigmatocystin during the bread making process and its occurrence in bread from the Latvian market. Mycotoxin Res. 2012;28:123–9. [DOI] [PubMed]

12. Warth B, Parich A, Atehnkeng J, Bandyopadhyay R, Schuhmacher R, Sulyok M, et al. Quantitation of mycotoxins in food and feed from Burkina Faso and Mozambique using a modern LC-MS/MS multitoxin method. J Agric Food Chem. 2012;60:9352–63. [DOI] [PubMed]

13. Yassin MA, El-Samawaty AMA, Moslem MA, El-Naggar MA. Mycobiota of Almond Seeds and the Toxigenicity of Some Involved Genera. Life Sci J. 2013;10:1088–93.

14. Youssef MS, El-Maghraby OMO, Ibrahim YM. Mycobiota and mycotoxins of Egyptian peanut (*Arachis hypogeae* L.) seeds. Int J Bot. 2008;4:349–60. [DOI]

15. Culliao AG, Barcelo JM. Fungal and mycotoxin contamination of coffee beans in Benguet province, Philippines. Food Addit Contam Part A. 2015;32:250–60. [DOI] [PubMed]

16. Versilovskis A, Van Peteghem C, De Saeger S. Determination of sterigmatocystin in cheese by high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Food Addit Contam Part A. 2009;26: 127–33. [DOI] [PubMed]

17. Varga J, Baranyi N, Chandrasekaran M, Vágvölgyi C, Kocsubé S. Mycotoxin producers in the *Aspergillus* genus: an update. Acta Biol Szeged. 2015;59:151–67.

18. Stroka J, Dasko L, Spangenberg B, Anklam E. Determination of the Mycotoxin, Sterigmatocystin, by Thin-Layer Chromatography and Reagent-Free Derivatisation. J Liq Chromatogr Relat Technol. 2004; 27:2101–11. [DOI]

19. EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM). Scientific Opinion on the risk for public and animal health related to the presence of sterigmatocystin in food and feed. EFSA J. 2013;11:3254. [DOI]

20. COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) 2023/915 of 25 April 2023 on maximum levels for certain contaminants in food and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 [Internet]. [cited 2024 Jul 1]. Available from: https://knowledge4policy.ec.europa.eu/publication/commission-regulation-eu-2023 915-25-april-2023-maximum-levels-certain-contaminants-food_en#:~:text=The%20new%20Food% 20Contaminants%20Regulation%20%28EU%29%20No%202023%2F915,years%2C%20through%2 0numerous%20amendments%20to%20Reg.%20%28EC%29%201881%2F06

21. Worldwide regulations for mycotoxins in food and feed in 2003 [Internet]. FAO; c2004 [cited 2024 Jul 1]. Available from: https://www.fao.org/4/y5499e/y5499e00.htm

22. Safety evaluation of certain mycotoxins in food [Internet]. [cited 2024 Jul 1]. Available from: https://inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v47je01.htm

23. Yoshinari T, Sugita-Konishi Y, Sato E, Takeuchi H, Taniguchi M, Fukumitsu T, et al. Survey and risk assessment of aflatoxins and sterigmatocystin in Japanese staple food items and the evaluation of an in-house ELISA technique for rapid screening. Food Control. 2024;157:110154. [DOI]

24. Yang L, Wang J, Li CY, Liu Q, Wang J, Wu J, et al. Hollow-structured molecularly imprinted polymers enabled specific enrichment and highly sensitive determination of aflatoxin B1 and sterigmatocystin against complex sample matrix. J Hazard Mater. 2023;451:131127. [DOI] [PubMed]

25. Gade PS, Sonkar RM, Bhatt P. Graphene oxide-mediated fluorescence turn-on GO-FAM-FRET aptasensor for detection of sterigmatocystin. Anal Methods. 2022;14:3890–7. [DOI] [PubMed]

26. Zhang J, Xu L, Xu X, Wu X, Kuang H, Xu C. Profiles of Sterigmatocystin and Its Metabolites during Traditional Chinese Rice Wine Processing. Biosensors (Basel). 2022;12:212. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

27. Du Q, Zhang Y, Yu L, He H. Surface molecularly imprinted polymers fabricated by differential UV-vis spectra and reverse prediction method for the enrichment and determination of sterigmatocystin. Food Chem. 2022;367:130715. [DOI] [PubMed]

28. Kang YW, Baek SK, Choi M, Lee HJ, Koo YE. Occurrence and risk assessment of sterigmatocystin in agricultural products and processed foods in Korea. Food Addit Contam Part A. 2022;39:373–81. [DOI] [PubMed]

29. Zhao Y, Zeng R, Wang Q, Chen P, Liu X, Wang X. Aflatoxin B_1 and sterigmatocystin: method development and occurrence in tea. Food Addit Contam Part B Surveill. 2022;15:31–7. [DOI] [PubMed]

30. Wang R, Wu P, Cui Y, Fizir M, Shi J, He H. Selective recognition and enrichment of sterigmatocystin in wheat by thermo-responsive imprinted polymer based on magnetic halloysite nanotubes. J Chromatogr A. 2020;1619:460952. [DOI] [PubMed]

31. Chung SWC, Wu AHT. Development and validation of an analytical method for the analysis of Sterigmatocystin in roasted coffee beans and black pepper using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Food Addit Contam Part A. 2020;37:355–62. [DOI] [PubMed]

32. Feng X, Sun Y, Zhang T, Li J, Zhao H, Zhao W, et al. Ionic liquid-functionalized mesoporous multipod silica for simultaneously effective extraction of aflatoxin B_1 and its two precursors from grain. Anal Chim Acta. 2024;1303:342544. [DOI] [PubMed]

33. Liu C, Xu W, Ni L, Chen H, Hu X, Lin H. Development of a sensitive simultaneous analytical method for 26 targeted mycotoxins in coix seed and Monte Carlo simulation-based exposure risk assessment for local population. Food Chem. 2024;435:137563. [DOI] [PubMed]

34. Deng H, Xu Z, Luo L, Gao Y, Zhou L, Chen X, et al. High-throughput detection and dietary exposure risk assessment of 44 mycotoxins in Mango, Litchi, Longan, and their products in South China. Food Chem X. 2023;20:101002. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

35. Sadok I, Krzyszczak-Turczyn A, Szmagara A, Łopucki R. Honey analysis in terms of nicotine, patulin and other mycotoxins contamination by UHPLC-ESI-MS/MS - method development and validation. Food Res Int. 2023;172:113184. [DOI] [PubMed]

36. Martiník J, Boško R, Svoboda Z, Běláková S, Benešová K, Pernica M. Determination of mycotoxins and their dietary exposure assessment in pale lager beers using immunoaffinity columns and UPLC-MS/MS. Mycotoxin Res. 2023;39:285–302. [DOI] [PubMed]

37. Zhang Y, Man Y, Li J, Sun Y, Jiang X, He L, et al. Fe_3O_4/ZIFs-based magnetic solid-phase extraction for the effective extraction of two precursors with diverse structures in aflatoxin B_1 biosynthetic pathway. Talanta. 2023;259:124534. [DOI] [PubMed]

38. Abreu DCP, Vargas EA, Oliveira FADS, Uetanabaro APT, Pires PN, Bazzana MJF, et al. Study of co-occurrence of mycotoxins in cocoa beans in Brazil by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Food Addit Contam Part A. 2023;40:1049–58. [DOI] [PubMed]

39. Chalyy ZA, Kiseleva MG, Sedova IB, Tutelyan VA. Mycotoxins in spices consumed in Russia. Vopr Pitan. 2023;92:26–34. Russian. [DOI] [PubMed]

40. Zhang L, Huang Z, Luo S, Cao L, Xie Y, Qian J. Establishment of non-targeted screening database and confirmation method for 18 mycotoxins in grains using ultra performance liquid chromatography-quadrupole-time of flight mass spectrometry. Se Pu. 2023;41:66–75. Chinese. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

41. Liang H, Hou Q, Zhou Y, Zhang L, Yang M, Zhao X. Centrifugation-Assisted Solid-Phase Extraction Coupled with UPLC-MS/MS for the Determination of Mycotoxins in ARECAE Semen and Its Processed Products. Toxins (Basel). 2022;14:742. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

42. Rehagel C, Maul R, Gützkow KL, Akineden Ö. Enzyme immunoassays for the detection of mycotoxins in plant-based milk alternatives: pitfalls and limitations. Mycotoxin Res. 2022;38:265–74. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

43. Luo D, Guan J, Dong H, Chen J, Liang M, Zhou C, et al. Simultaneous determination of twelve mycotoxins in edible oil, soy sauce and bean sauce by PRiME HLB solid phase extraction combined with HPLC-Orbitrap HRMS. Front Nutr. 2022;9:1001671. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

44. Li CY, Lv SW, Yang L, Wang J, Liu JM, Wang S. Facile preparation of uniform-sized covalent organic framework nanoflowers as versatile sample-pretreatment platforms for sensitive and specific determination of hazardous substances. J Hazard Mater. 2022;438:129566. [DOI] [PubMed]

45. Siri-Anusornsak W, Kolawole O, Mahakarnchanakul W, Greer B, Petchkongkaew A, Meneely J, et al. The Occurrence and Co-Occurrence of Regulated, Emerging, and Masked Mycotoxins in Rice Bran and Maize from Southeast Asia. Toxins (Basel). 2022;14:567. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

46. Lešić T, Vulić A, Vahčić N, Šarkanj B, Hengl B, Kos I, et al. The Occurrence of Five Unregulated Mycotoxins Most Important for Traditional Dry-Cured Meat Products. Toxins (Basel). 2022;14:476. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

47. Tang Z, Han Q, Yu G, Liu F, Tan Y, Peng C. Fe$_3$O$_4$@PDA/MIL-101(Cr) as magnetic solid-phase extraction sorbent for mycotoxins in licorice prior to ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry analysis. Food Sci Nutr. 2022;10:2224–35. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

48. Pietri A, Leni G, Mulazzi A, Bertuzzi T. Ochratoxin A and Sterigmatocystin in Long-Ripened Grana Cheese: Occurrence, Wheel Rind Contamination and Effectiveness of Cleaning Techniques on Grated Products. Toxins (Basel). 2022;14:306. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

49. Shen YW, Yang CG, Jiang WK, Zhang JQ, Yuan QS, Zhang HX, et al. Methods for synchronous detection of 14 mycotoxins in Pseudostellariae Radix and investigation on its contamination. Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi. 2022;47:628–34. Chinese. [DOI] [PubMed]

50. Er Demirhan B, Demirhan B. Investigation of Twelve Significant Mycotoxin Contamination in Nut-Based Products by the LC–MS/MS Method. Metabolites. 2022;12:120. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

51. Sedova IB, Kiseleva MG, Chalyy ZA, Efimochkina NR, Tutelyan VA. Mycotoxins contamination of cocoa products and carob marketed in the Russian Federation. Vopr Pitan. 2022;91:65–77. Russian. [DOI] [PubMed]

52. Gützkow KL, Al Ayoubi C, Soler Vasco L, Rohn S, Maul R. Analysis of ochratoxin A, aflatoxin B1 and its biosynthetic precursors in cheese – Method development and market sample screening. Food Control. 2023;143:109241. [DOI]

53. Akinyemi MO, Braun D, Windisch P, Warth B, Ezekiel CN. Assessment of multiple mycotoxins in raw milk of three different animal species in Nigeria. Food Control. 2022;131:108258. [DOI]

54. Er Demirhan B, Demirhan B. The Investigation of Mycotoxins and Enterobacteriaceae of Cereal-Based Baby Foods Marketed in Turkey. Foods. 2021;10:3040. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

55. Ezekiel CN, Ayeni KI, Akinyemi MO, Sulyok M, Oyedele OA, Babalola DA, et al. Dietary Risk Assessment and Consumer Awareness of Mycotoxins among Household Consumers of Cereals, Nuts and Legumes in North-Central Nigeria. Toxins (Basel). 2021;13:635. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

56. Şen L. A small field search on the effects of hand sorting process on aflatoxins and sterigmatocystin occurrence in raw hazelnut kernels. J Food Process Preserv. 2021;45:e15607. [DOI]

57. Caldeirão L, Sousa J, Nunes LCG, Godoy HT, Fernandes JO, Cunha SC. Herbs and herbal infusions: Determination of natural contaminants (mycotoxins and trace elements) and evaluation of their exposure. Food Res Int. 2021;144:110322. [DOI] [PubMed]

58. Lago LO, Nievierowski TH, Mallmann LP, Rodrigues E, Welke JE. QuEChERS-LC-QTOFMS for the simultaneous determination of legislated and emerging mycotoxins in malted barley and beer using matrix-matched calibration as a solution to the commercial unavailability of internal standards for some mycotoxins. Food Chem. 2021;345:128744. [DOI] [PubMed]

59. Salim SA, Sukor R, Ismail MN, Selamat J. Dispersive Liquid-Liquid Microextraction (DLLME) and LC-MS/MS Analysis for Multi-Mycotoxin in Rice Bran: Method Development, Optimization and Validation. Toxins (Basel). 2021;13:280. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

60. Mutiga SK, Mutuku JM, Koskei V, Gitau JK, Ng'ang'a F, Musyoka J, et al. Multiple Mycotoxins in Kenyan Rice. Toxins (Basel). 2021;13:203. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]

61. Yang S, Luo Y, Mu L, Yang Y, Yang Y. Risk screening of mycotoxins and their derivatives in dairy products using a stable isotope dilution assay and LC-MS/MS. J Sep Sci. 2021;44:782–92. [DOI] [PubMed]

62. Meyer JC, Hennies I, Wessels D, Schwarz K. Survey of mycotoxins in milling oats dedicated for food purposes between 2013 and 2019 by LC-MS/MS. Food Addit Contam Part A. 2021;38:1934–47. [DOI] [PubMed]

63. Anjorin TS, Ariyo AL, Peter AO, Sulyok M, Krska R. Co-occurrence of mycotoxins, aflatoxin biosynthetic precursors, and *Aspergillus* metabolites in garlic (*Allium sativum* L) marketed in Zaria, Nigeria. Food Addit Contam Part B Surveill. 2021;14:23–9. [DOI] [PubMed]

64. Wu Y, Ye J, Xuan Z, Li L, Wang H, Wang S, et al. Development and validation of a rapid and efficient method for simultaneous determination of mycotoxins in coix seed using one-step extraction and UHPLC-HRMS. Food Addit Contam Part A. 2021;38:148–59. [DOI] [PubMed]

65. Khan R, Anwar F, Ghazali FM. A comprehensive review of mycotoxins: Toxicology, detection, and effective mitigation approaches. Heliyon. 2024;10:e28361. [DOI] [PubMed] [PMC]